

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,833	02/11/2004	William C. Wendlandt	82483SLP	3019	
75	590 06/15/2006		EXAM	INER	
Pamela R. Crocker			ARTMAN, THOMAS R		
Patent Legal St	aff				
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			2882	2882	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/776,833	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	WENDLANDT ET AL. Art Unit
	Thomas B. Adman	2000
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thomas R. Artman	2882
	cuis on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on 	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _), which is after the expiration of the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See e	te a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (and	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requi Allowability (PTO-37).		
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represo	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	nce rendered on and because s.	e the period for seeking court review
7. Marcason(s) below:		
The office of Susan Parulski, Reg. No. 39,324, verifie	ed in a telephonic interview that r	no response was filed.
	Tur Ma	. \ . L
SUPER	EDWARD J. GLICK RVISORY PATENT EXAME:	Thomas R. Artman Patent Examiner
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw		FR 1.181, should be promptly filed to